QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
stevemadison@quinnemanuel.com		
shonmorgan@quinnemanuel.com		
865 South Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor		
Telephone: (213) 443-3000		
karinkramer@quinnemanuel.com		
50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111		
Telephone: (415) 875-6600		
Attorneys for Defendant International Business Machines Corporation		
LINETED STATES	DICTRICT COLUDT	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
CALLIE GREEN, individually and on behalf	Case No.: 11-cv-1797-JSW	
of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
Plaintiff,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND	
VS.	TRANSFERRING CASE TO EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
HEALTH NET, INC., HEALTH NET OF	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATIONAL		
Defendants.		
Whereas, this case arises out of similar facts and involves largely the same parties as the		
following cases pending in the Eastern District of California: Whitaker v. Health Net of California		
Inc., No. 11cv910; Assavarungnirum v.Health Net of California, Inc., No. 11cv1008; Avila v.		
Health Net, Inc., No. 11cv1097; Bournas v. Health Net, Inc., No. 11cv1262; Bowman v. Health		
Net, Inc., No. 11cv1320;		
	Steven G. Madison (Bar No. 101006) stevemadison@quinnemanuel.com Shon Morgan (Bar No. 187736) shonmorgan@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Karin Kramer (Bar No. 87346) karinkramer@quinnemanuel.com Arthur M. Roberts (Bar No. 275272) arthurroberts@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorneys for Defendant International Business Machines Corporation UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO CALLIE GREEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. HEALTH NET, INC., HEALTH NET OF CALIFORNIA, INC., and INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, Defendants. Whereas, this case arises out of similar fa following cases pending in the Eastern District of Inc., No. 11cv910; Assavarungnirum v. Health No. Health Net, Inc., No. 11cv1097; Bournas v. Heal	

Case No. 11-cv-1797-JSW
Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time to Respond to Complaint and Transferring Case to Eastern District

Casse 3::111-cv-01797-JSW Document 22 Filed 05/24/11 Page 2206 f33

1	Whereas, the parties in another related case in the Northern District, Eandi v. Health Net,		
2	Inc., No. 11-cv-2002-JSW, have stipulated to transfer that case to the Eastern District;		
3	Whereas, there were also three related cases filed in the Central District of California;		
4	Whereas, on May 13, 2011, Judge Anderson of the Central District transferred one of thos		
5	related cases to the Eastern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), see Bowman v.		
6	Health Net, Inc., No. 11-cv-2345, Dkt. No. 28 (C.D. Cal. May 13, 2011) (order attached as Exhibit		
7	A) and filed an Order To Show Cause on May 13, 2011 as to why a second case should not be		
8	transferred to the Eastern District;		
9	Whereas, the parties have already filed stipulations to transfer to the Eastern District the		
10	two remaining Central District cases, which are also before Judge Anderson;		
11	Whereas, Judge Anderson found, and the parties do not here dispute, that the requirements		
12	for a transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) are met: the Eastern District is convenient for the parties		
13	and witnesses; that district has easier access to evidence not available in electronic format; it has		
14	subject-matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction over the parties; venue is proper; and several		
15	other cases are pending there;		
16	Whereas, it would be inefficient, expensive, and could lead to inconsistent results to have		
17	this case pending in a different District from the other cases addressing the same event;		
18	Whereas, for the reasons described above and for the reasons discussed in the <i>Bowman</i>		
19	order, see Exhibit A, transfer of this case to the Eastern District of California is appropriate under		
20	28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).		
21	Therefore, the parties hereby stipulate as follows:		
22	1. The deadline for Defendants to respond to the complaint shall be extended to June		
23	17, 2011;		
24	2. This case shall be transferred to the Eastern District of California under 28 U.S.C.		
25	§ 1404(a) for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interests of justice.		
26			

27

28

Case 3::11-cv-01797-JSW Document 22 Filed 05/24/11 Page 3:0613

1	DATED: May 24, 2011	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
2		2 0 <u></u> , , <u></u>
3		
4		By /s/ Karin Kramer ¹ Karin Kramer
5		Attorneys for Defendant International Business
6		Machines Corporation
7	DATED: May 24, 2011	SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON
8		KONECKY LLP
9		
10		By /s/ Todd M. Schneider
		Todd M. Schneider
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff Callie Green
12		
13	DATED 14 24 2011	CDOWELL & MODDIG LLD
14	DATED: May 24, 2011	CROWELL & MORING LLP
15		
16		By /s/ Ethan P. Schulman
17		Ethan P. Schulman
18		Attorneys for Defendants Health Net of California, Inc. and Health Net, Inc.
19		
20 21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATIO	N, IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	DATED: May 25	, 2011
23		_
24		Jeffrey & White
		Hon Jeff by S. White
25		
26		
27	I attest that I have obtained	approval to file this document on behalf of the other signatories.
28		
		-3- Case No. 11-cv-1797-JSW
	Stipulation and Proposed Order Exter	nding Time to Respond to Complaint and Transferring Case to Eastern District